

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/681,567	Applicant(s) MURAKAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin M. Bernatz	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Joseph Maenner.
Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2007
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 all


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner proposed some claim amendments to bring the case into condition for allowance for the reasons noted in the attached Notice of Allowability. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes, as well as proposing some additional changes to better clarify the claimed invention. The Examiner agreed to the proposed additional changes as not materially affecting the patentability of the claimed invention..